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INTRODUCTION

Charles Bukowski composed a sequence of six stories between 1944 and 1948, including “Aftermath of a Lengthy Rejection Slip” (1944) in Story, “20 Tanks from Kasseldown” (1946) in Portfolio III, and the quartet appearing in Matrix: “The Reason Behind Reason” (1946), “Love, Love, Love” (1946–47), “Cacoethes Scribendi” (1947), and “Hard Without Music” (1948).1 “The Reason Behind Reason”—although decorated with Bukowski’s first published drawing, depicting a baseball player comically reaching out to catch a fly ball—is pervaded by an eerie sense of disquiet. The main character Chelaski is puzzled, enigmatic, muted, withdrawn; he sees no reason to perform his appointed role in the game because, like the game of life, it is an absurd one. Bukowski pays close attention to the oddly-observed disconnected small detail and demonstrates his early mastery of fictional craft: “fire on things sticking in mouths” of the spectators; “the thick veins in the red neck” of Jamison; a rhythmic, lyrical flash of erotic mystery from the girl in the grandstand with “a green skirt, and a pleat in a green skirt, shadow-like, and leaping.”

Like Roquentin in Jean-Paul Sartre’s La Nausée (1938), who experiences the world as “out there” and is made ill by the chestnut tree’s horrible quiddity, so too Chelaski feels “different,” adrift in an indifferent universe where “things don’t set right” and “even the sun looked a little sick, the green of the fences too green, the sky much too high” and a weird recurring bird “skipped through the air, up and down, somewhere, very fast.” The title “The Reason Behind Reason” suggests an inscrutable riddle occluded behind the reasons we invent to interpret our experience. Whatever meanings there might be are so unreasonable that they are best passed over in silence. It is the individual, questioning poet who is lost, while
the crowd “all hung together in a strange understanding.” This is the solitary mystical zone where nothing and nobody connect; we should remember that one of Bukowski’s favorite novels was Carson McCullers’ *The Heart is a Lonely Hunter.*

“Cacoethes Scribendi” concerns an editor/writer who seeks an assistant for his literary magazine. Again the mood is uncanny, with strange perturbations in the atmosphere, the abstruse word choice purposely jarring: “suzerain,” “diacritic,” “acephalous,” “zebu,” “argute.” The title is taken from Juvenal’s *Satire* VII and may be translated as “an incurable endemic writer’s itch,” which precisely describes Bukowski himself since he was a hard-working, ceaselessly productive writer, constantly submitting poems, stories, and essays to virtually every literary magazine in the United States (and several in Europe). Indeed, contrary to the myth fostered by the author himself, he did not fall silent after 1948, the period of his infamous “ten-year drunk” when he claimed to have written nothing. In fact, he submitted poems to *Poetry (Chicago)* between 1953 and 1956 and published the poems “The Look” in *Matrix* in 1951, “Lay Over” in *Naked Ear* in 1956, “These Things” and “You Smoke a Cigarette” in *Quixote* 1956, “Poem for Personnel Managers” and “As the Sparrow” in *Quixote* 1957, and “Mine” in Wallace Berman’s *Semia 2* in 1957.

“80 Airplanes Don’t Put You in the Clear” (1957) is noteworthy as the first work in which the narrator is named “Hank,” while in “Love, Love, Love” the main character is “Chuck” and in “The Reason Behind Reason” he is “Chelaski.” Bukowski would finally settle on Henry (“Hank”) Chinaski (derived from his given name Henry Charles Bukowski, Jr.) as his literary alter ego. This story marks a return to the more whimsical tone of “Aftermath” and the tale is built around D.H. Lawrence’s biography: his failed attempt to found the colony of *Rananim* with his friends, his wife Frieda von Richthofen, and her kinship with “The Red Baron,” Manfred von
Richthofen. The allusion to the Red Baron returns us to Bukowski’s literary beginnings; one of the first stories he invented as a child concerned the German World War I fighter pilot. Richard Aldington, Homer, Shakespeare, Twain, Stevenson, Huxley, Confucius, and Beethoven are all invoked during a night of playful drinking and womanizing. *Wein, Weib, und Gesang*—wine, women, and song, or alcohol, sex, and poetry/music—would become Bukowski’s obsessive thematic holy trinity; if one exists in his narratives, the other two will surely be present.

Bukowski’s transgressive sexual writing begins with “The Rapist’s Story.” Though it was published in *Harlequin* in 1957, Bukowski had actually submitted it to *Story* in 1952, thus predating Vladimir Nabokov’s *Lolita* (1955) by three years. It is clear from a psychoanalytic perspective that the cycle of stories about violation (“The Fiend” from 1970 is a later example) are replayings of Bukowski’s own terrorized childhood at the hands of his violent father. His unpublished essay “Ah, Liberation, Liberty, Lilies on the Moon!” illustrates his compassion for the victims of child abuse as well as his sensitivity to animal cruelty. Later, in his “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” columns, he would continue to experiment with explicit erotic themes, and when he quit his position in the Los Angeles Post Office in 1970 to begin his career as professional writer, he began to consciously create increasingly sexual and violent narratives in order to successfully market his work to adult magazines.

Bukowski alternated between composing fiction and poetry, but when he wrote essays, they were most frequently devoted to literary polemics. He often seemed particularly concerned to distinguish himself as a solitary creator separate from the various “schools” of American poetry: Imagist, Confessional, Objectivist, Black Mountain, Deep Image, New York, Beat. In “Manifesto,” he takes aim at the “university poets,” a familiar target throughout his career. The essay is perhaps a parody (the vocabulary—“nosography,”
“censorious dictum,” “heuristic,” “steatopygous,” “hierophants”—is obviously outrageous) of the genteel literary criticism of his day, which he enjoyed reading in the Kenyon Review or the Sewanee Review. In opposition to the pampered ivory tower boys, Bukowski is at pains to remind us that he lived by the Aeschylean dictum *pathei mathos*: through suffering comes wisdom, inspiration, creativity. In “He Beats His Women,” he asserted: “The gods were good to me. They kept me under. They made me live the life. It was very difficult for me to walk out of a slaughterhouse or a factory and come home and write a poem I didn’t quite mean. And many people write poems they don’t quite mean. I do too, sometimes. The hard life created the hard line and by the hard line I mean the true line devoid of ornament.” A more concise statement of Bukowski’s poetics would be difficult to find.

In another of his essays on the writing life, “The House of Horrors,” he makes sarcastic observations about poets who “are quite comfortable with TV sets, air coolers, loaded refrigerators, and apartments and houses by the sea—mostly at Venice and Santa Monica, and they sun themselves in the day, feeling and looking tragic, these male friends (?) of mine and then at night, lo, perhaps they have a bottle of wine and a watercress sandwich, followed by a wailing letter of their penury and greatness to somebody somewhere.” It is a Romantic conceit, but for Bukowski many poets were mild-mannered reporters who did not honor Nietzsche’s mighty apothegm in Also Sprach Zarathustra: “Of all that is written I love only what a man has written with his blood.” And he would agree with Charles Péguy, who remarked: “Un mot n’est pas le même dans un écrivain et dans un autre. L’un se l’arrache du ventre. L’autre le tire de la poche de son pardessus.” “A word is not the same with one writer as with another. One tears it from his guts. The other pulls it out of his overcoat pocket.”

The subject matter of Bukowski’s writing is very frequently
writing itself: his constant effort to define the act of composing in relationship to an authentically lived life, his theories of creativity and poetics, his admiration for other writers, as well as his connections to editors. His essay “The Outsider,” which appeared in 1972 in *The Wormwood Review*, is his tribute to Jon Edgar and Gypsy Lou Webb. Marvin Malone’s *Wormwood Review*, Douglas Blazek’s *Ole*, and in Germany Carl Weissner’s *Klactovedsedsteen*—all were central in slowly establishing the readership that would launch Bukowski to world fame. Yet most important of all would be John Martin’s Black Sparrow Press; one of Bukowski’s several portraits of Martin appears in his 1981 story “East Hollywood: The New Paris.” And Bukowski himself edited two little magazines: *Harlequin*, with his first wife Barbara Frye and later, briefly, *Laugh Literary and Man the Humping Guns*, with Neeli Cherkovski.

Indeed, Bukowski’s involvement with the underground press both as contributor and editor put him in the direct line of combat during the contentious struggle for free speech during the ’50s, ’60s, and ’70s. As early as 1957, Wallace Berman was raided by the Los Angeles vice squad. In 1966, Steve Richmond, who had published Bukowski in his magazines *Earth* and *Earth Rose*, had writings from his bookshop in Santa Monica confiscated. d.a. levy, the dynamo of the “mimeo revolution,” published Bukowski’s poem *The Genius of the Crowd*, which was seized by the police: “levy was arrested and jailed along with Jim Lowell (proprietor of the great Asphodel Bookshop, a welcoming home for new poetry for over thirty years) on charges of distributing obscene material in Cleveland.”


Thus as a creature of the underground and as an advocate of freedom of speech, Bukowski had always been in sympathy with
the ideals of the counterculture. And as we see from his anti-war essay, “Peace, Baby, Is Hard Sell” (1962), at the beginning of the Sixties Bukowski was in accord with pacifism and love, although he put on the outer mask of the tough guy misanthrope to hide his essential tenderness. It should come as no surprise, then, that Bukowski would have deep links with the Beat writers. Although the nature of his connection to the Beats has been a matter of some controversy among literary historians, he read their work closely and appeared with them in many of the same publications, such as The Outsider, Evergreen Review, Beatitude, Transatlantic Review, City Lights Anthology, Klactovedsedsteen, Acid: Neue Amerikanische Szene, Unmuzzled Ox, El Corno Emplumado, Semina, Hearse, Wild Dog, Naked Ear, and Bastard Angel. And as the Sixties progressed, an increasing number of significant writers in Beat circles came to appreciate his work; Kenneth Rexroth would positively review Bukowski’s It Catches My Heart in Its Hands in the New York Times on July 5, 1964.13

Bukowski had corresponded with Harold Norse and his tribute to him, “The Old Pro,” appeared in 1966 in Ole, an important “mimeo revolution” publication edited by Douglas Blazek. The two poets met when Norse moved to Venice, California in January 1969.14 Bukowski reviewed Allen Ginsberg’s Empty Mirror in Ole in 1967 and at the beginning of 1968 encountered Neal Cassady (“Dean Moriarty” in Jack Kerouac’s On the Road), who became the subject of one of his “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” columns.15 In 1969, Bukowski would appear with Norse and Philip Lamantia in Penguin Poets 13. City Lights published Erections, Ejaculations and Other Tales of Ordinary Madness in 1972 and Lawrence Ferlinghetti sponsored Bukowski’s first reading in San Francisco at the City Lights Poets’ Theater in September 1972 and also reprinted Notes of a Dirty Old Man in 1973 following its initial appearance in 1969 under the Essex House imprint.16 And in November 1974, Bukowski
read with Ferlinghetti, Snyder, and Ginsberg at the Santa Cruz Poetry Festival.\textsuperscript{17}

Thus Bukowski’s writings derive their power not only from his boisterous, energetic, autobiographical voice, but from the fact that they are vivid chronicles of the Sixties counterculture. For example, Gregory Corso is affectionately portrayed in “I Just Write Poetry So I Can Go to Bed with Girls.” Jack Micheline becomes a lively “Duke” in a “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” column from 1973, while d.a. levy, who committed suicide in 1967, was the subject of two essays: “The Deliberate Mashing of the Sun” and an article in \textit{The Serif}, the literary magazine of Kent State University. Leroi Jones (Amiri Baraka) is the subject of one of his “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” columns, while Robert Creeley is pilloried in the \textit{Literary Times} essay “Examining My Peers.” It is clear then—his own many disavowals to the contrary—that Bukowski’s career was in many ways inextricably bound to the Beats.

One area of common ground with the Beats was Bukowski’s development of his own style of “spontaneous prose composition,” which sought to depict everything about the human body and imagination normally ignored, shunned, and rejected as “vulgar.” In “The Absence of the Hero,” Bukowski struggled to record violent, scatological, fascinating, grotesque images as they emerged unbidden in convulsive patterns from the depths of the unconscious. Here the words seem to be energetically thrown upon the page randomly but nevertheless they fall into a vital pattern. He records discrete perceptions, even noting the passage of time—“3:24 A.M.”—in diary-like fashion as if to catch the very movement of fragmented consciousness through time. The story also illustrates Bukowski’s alternating use of lines of capital and lowercase letters, the use of jagged line spacing, lists of capitalized sentences—all as if he is attempting to paint or draw with words. He experimented frequently in his prose with punctuation, type size, ellipses, idiosyncratic spellings, and
repetitions—some of his narratives were entirely composed in lower case, thus transporting into prose some of e.e. cummings’ poetic typographical playfulness.

This emphasis on the appearance of the text—he often illustrated his stories, poems, and letters with cartoons and drawings, while his early stories were actually combinations of words and illustrations—shows that Bukowski frequently strove to make the text itself into an image. He was actually ahead of his time, anticipating the current craze for “graphic fiction” since he obsessively joined text to image in his hand-printed stories from the mid- to late ’40s. As we see in “East Hollywood: The New Paris,” Bukowski devoted a serious amount of time to his drawing and painting, and many of the deluxe editions of his work are accompanied by original art. So too the text of “The Absence of the Hero” reveals that Bukowski was a kind of Action Writer: he tried to make words perform, act out their meaning in a quasi-visual way in the same fashion that Jackson Pollock performed the spontaneous act of creation by “randomly” yet accurately flinging paint on canvas.

Bukowski would develop a hard, comic, lyric realism, a toughness, but underneath an abiding sensitivity and a photographic, documentary fidelity to everyday horrors. In his “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” columns, he records the humdrum facts of city life: drivers in Los Angeles, a confrontation between Nazis and Marxists, joyous nighttime sessions with his drunken landlady and landlord. He often writes the prose equivalent of William Carlos Williams’ “This is just to say,” telling you precisely what is in front him without commentary. He addresses the reader directly, removing any barrier between writer and audience. And he strengthened his vulnerable, hurt self by muscling it with irony, by a subversive, mocking, irreverent sharpness of observation. Bukowski’s prose became more accomplished with time and his narratives more skillful: he would begin in medias res with an outrageous opening scene to hook readers and
draw them into the story, as in “The Cat in the Closet.” This story is also a marvelous example of the ways he casts his alter ego as a comical, self-deprecating, helpless character lost in a universe where things just happen.

The unexpected references to Stravinsky, Mahler, Hemingway, Camus’ The Stranger, Maxwell Bodenheim, and Berlioz against the backdrop of graphic sexuality and comic drunken self-abasement are typical examples of a literary device Bukowski frequently employs. These surprising, sudden allusions to cultural figures serve to “equalize” “highbrow” and “lowbrow” culture to comic effect and are a kind of “winking” by the narrator to the reader, signaling that our hapless anti-hero may be a clown, but he is smarter than he lets on. So too Bukowski seeks to entertain us by playing the fool; he gives us our existential lesson, but with a knowing smile. His characters do not grow, achieve epiphanies, or reach enlightenment. Rather, as the Buddha said in the Diamond Sutra: “I obtained not the least thing from complete, unexcelled awakening, and it is for that very reason it is called complete, unexcelled awakening.”

With increasing fame, Bukowski began to give poetry readings throughout the U.S.: in California (Los Angeles, Santa Cruz, San Francisco), New Mexico, Washington, Utah, Illinois, New York, and Wisconsin as well as in Vancouver, Canada, and Hamburg, Germany. He also made a rambunctious appearance on the famous television talk show hosted by Bernard Pivot in Paris, Apostrophes. And as always, life fed his art as he began to chronicle his life on the road in his poems, stories, essays, and novels. He became a “literary hustler,” and he satirizes himself, and depoeticizes and deromanticizes poetry; he turns the lofty poetry reading into a ritual in honor of the god Dionysus, complete with rivers of wine and ecstatic maenads.19

The sexual revolution of the Sixties coincided with Bukowski’s own raw and direct confrontation with his own sexuality. Due to his acne vulgaris and tortured childhood, Bukowski had never
experienced a “normal” adolescence and he spent 1970–1977 playing catch-up for all the delights he had missed as a Southern Californian teenager. In “The Big Dope Reading,” for example, we see Bukowski at the height of his powers, engaging in multiple levels of irony and self-parody. The title itself may carry a double entendre: “dope” as in marijuana, but also the Big Dope equals the Poet as Clown. Bukowski gives his readers a hilarious moment of deadpan self-parody when Chinaski quotes two of the most famous Bukowski apothegms—“Genius . . . could mean the ability to say a profound thing in a simple way” and “Endurance is more important than truth”—which are in-jokes for devoted Bukowskians. Here too there are complex moments when he is at once parodying erotic writing, himself, and the convolutions of sexual/romantic “relationships” (he would have been allergic to such a psychobabble word). Bukowski often plays at the “meaning” of “relationships” in a teasing, Zen way which recalls Jacques Lacan’s gnomic apothegm: “There is no sexual relationship.” He stripped himself down to show his vulnerability, his wounds, trying to recover through love what was lost in his childhood yet, at the same time, poking fun at the effort to find salvation through love and sex. Yet Bukowski is also of course really a romantic who could write of falling in love in his June 24, 1974 “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” column: “I walked about and it felt as if the sun were inside of me.” And as one of his favorite poets, e.e. cummings, wrote: “unlove is the heavenless hell and homeless home . . . lovers alone wear sunlight.”

Bukowski’s “defense mechanism” to ward off psychic anguish is of course laughter. Wit, an unerring sense of comic timing, and a driving inexorable energy power his writings; his beloved Renaissance brothers in manic extravagance were François Rabelais and Giovanni Boccaccio. He could also be sardonic, which was in perfect accord with the Zeitgeist: black humor would mark the counterculture of the ’60s and ’70s. Who’s Afraid of Virginia Woolf (1966),
One Flew Over the Cuckoo’s Nest (1975), and Eraserhead (1978) were among his favorite films—humor and madness in close and delicate counterpoint. So too, Bukowski’s writing is poised between despair and lyricism, moving forward with a vigorous power that virtually always redeems his writings from nihilism. His admiration for the genius of Robert Crumb (who illustrated several Bukowski works) shows that for Bukowski there is a nexus between pain, laughter, and quasi-German-Expressionist extreme states of emotion.

After he tendered his resignation at the post office at age 50, Bukowski demonstrated that he could be a practical working writer and he maximized his productivity by reassembling his plots in differing contexts. He not only recycled favorite narratives in stories and novels, but he even recast them in both story and poem: “Fooling Marie” exists in both forms. Furthermore, sections from Post Office, Factotum, and Women all appeared initially in his “Notes of a Dirty Old Man” column in the LA Free Press as separate stories. Chapter 30 from Women appeared in two installments and in comparing the story and novel versions, one can see how much he altered and revised; in this case, many splendid passages were left on the cutting-room floor as he shaped his prose into novel form. This method of composition made perfect sense, since Bukowski’s fiction had always been episodic, constructed out of brief sections strung together. His novels are in a way a series of connected brief tales, which enabled him to detach sections for submission to magazines as separate short stories as he composed them. And there was yet another mode of literary proliferation: Bukowski’s work was circulated among many underground publications under an agreement with the United Press Syndicate (UPS) which allowed articles to be reprinted by all members of the organization.

As suggested above, perhaps due to both the loosening of censorship restrictions during the ’60s and ’70s as well as his own desire to explore more fully the darker reaches of his imagination, Bukowski
would begin to experiment more dramatically with direct portrayals of violence. Films such as *The Wild Bunch* (1969), *Easy Rider* (1969), and *A Clockwork Orange* (1971) laid the groundwork for a story such as “Christ with Barbeque Sauce,” in which Bukowski used an actual newspaper account as the source for his narrative. In later stories such as “The Invader” (1986), Bukowski traces the sudden incursion of terror into the banalities of everyday life: tales of ordinary madness. This story also recalls his earlier apocalyptic portrayals of the human inability to fathom primal wildness in stories such as “Animal Crackers in My Soup.”

Bukowski’s late, lovely cycle of poems about his cats reveals them to be creatures who preserve the style, poise, and lack of pretense that are so sorely lacking in human beings. And in one of his very last essays, Bukowski asserts that most people lose their magic at a very young age. In “Playing and Being the Poet,” he returns to his musings about the life of the poet: “Poetry comes from where you’ve lived and from what makes you create it. Most people have already entered the death process by the age of 5, and with each passing year there is less of them in the sense of being original beings with a chance to break through and out and away from the obvious and the mutilating.” For Bukowski, living life poetically is in fact the only way to really live.

NOTES

1. “Aftermath of a Lengthy Rejection Slip,” “Twenty Tanks from Kasseldown,” and “Hard Without Music” may be found in Charles Bukowski, *Portions from a Wine-Stained Notebook: Uncollected Stories and Essays, 1944–1990*, ed. and with an introduction by David Stephen Calonne, San Francisco: City Lights, 2008. The early stories are remarkable not only for their style and approach, but also because they encapsulate virtually all of the major themes that would preoccupy Bukowski throughout his career: his romantic and erotic quest, sense of alienation, troubled family history, discovery of alcohol, struggles to be a writer, and love of classical music.


6. Debritto, Who’s Big in the Littles, p. 118. Debritto also reveals that Bukowski corresponded with Burnett from 1945 to 1955, again dispelling the myth of his dropping out of the literary world during his “ten-year drunk.”


17. See Sounes, pp. 140–141.


19. Bukowski remarked, “I give poetry readings—for money. Strictly survival. I don’t like to do it but I quit my job last January 9 and now I’ve become what you’d call a literary hustler. I do things now that I wouldn’t have done before. I don’t like to do it at all.” See *Sunlight Here I Am,* p. 47.

20. Bukowski’s obsessive returning to the site of his traumatic wounds also recalls
Lacan’s conception of the unconscious. Slavoj Zizek declares that “the unconscious is not the preserve of wild drives that have to be tamed by the ego, but the site where a traumatic truth speaks out. Therein lies Lacan’s version of Freud’s motto Wo es war, soll ich werden (Where it was, I am to become): not ‘The ego should conquer the id,’ the site of the unconscious drives, but ‘I should dare to approach the site of my truth.’ What awaits me ‘there’ is not a deep Truth that I have to identify with, but an unbearable truth that I have to learn to live with.” Slavoj Zizek, How to Read Lacan. New York: W.W. Norton, 2007, p. 3. Bukowski often uses humor to live with that unbearable truth.


22. On Rabelais see Bukowski’s “he died April 9, 1553” in The Night Torn Mad with Footsteps: New Poems. Santa Rosa: Black Sparrow Press, 2001, pp. 218–19. And in an interview from 1981 he explained: “… the Decameron, Boccaccio. That is what influenced Women a great deal. I loved his idea that sex was so ridiculous, nobody could handle it. It was not so much love with him; it was sex. Love is funnier, more ridiculous. That guy! He could really laugh at it. He must have really gotten burnt about five thousand times to write that stuff. Or maybe he was just a fag; I don’t know. So, love is ridiculous because it can’t last, and sex is ridiculous because it doesn’t last long enough.” Sunlight Here I Am, p. 179.


24. On the UPS, see Bizot, pp. 6, 226–227.

25. For a superb study of Bukowski and violence, see Alexandre Thiltges, Bukowski ou Les Contes de la Violence Ordinaire. Paris: L’Harmattan, 2006. Thiltges’ monograph, unfortunately not yet translated into English, is the best single work of Bukowski scholarship to have appeared to date.

26. Bukowski had submitted the story to Curt Johnson, publisher of Candid Press, to whom he wrote a letter dated December 3, 1970: “Just glad I could curve one by you guys. That $45 check didn’t bounce anyhow and allowed me to get some repairs on my old ’62 Comet to get it running again so I could get around to my chickenshit poetry readings where I read half-drunk and hustle up a few more bucks. Now listening to Haydn. I gotta be crazy. Enjoyed writing the story, though. Read in the paper where they had caught some cannibals somewhere—Texas I think—and when they pulled them over this gal was just cleaning the meat off the fingers of a hand, nibbling. … I took it from there.” Uncollected letter, Brown University Library.
CHELASKI, CF, .285 (AB-246 H-70) felt a little... felt a little... different out there. There are days when you feel a little different. Things don't set right. Like now, even the sun looked a little sick, the green of the fences too green, the sky much too high, and the leather of his glove too much like... leather.

He took a few steps forward and beat his fist into his glove, trying to shake everything. Did he have a headache or what? He felt potential, as if he were about to scream or to leap up or to do something that shouldn't be done.

Chelaski was a bit frightened and looked over at DONOVAN, LF, .296 (AB-230 H-68) but Donovan looked very comfortable. He studied Donovan carefully, trying to draw strength from him. His face was very brown, and Chelaski had never noticed the pot belly before. Such an ugly bulge, so unselfconscious. Even Donovan's legs seemed thick, tree-like, and Chelaski stared straight ahead again, feeling worse.

What was wrong?

The batter connected and it was an outfield fly... to Donovan. Donovan moved forward a few steps, moved his arms leisurely, and caught the ball. Chelaski had watched the ball in its long, slow arc through sun and sky. It had seemed pleasant enough, but somehow unrelated, unattached to anything. The next man hit an infield single that he didn't have to handle. One out. One on. What was the inning? He turned to look at the scoreboard and saw the crowd. His eyes didn't focus on them. They were just bits of movement, cloth, and sound.

What did they want done?

It ran through his mind again: what did they want done?
Suddenly he was terrified and didn’t know the reason. His breath came hard and the saliva ran in his mouth; he felt dizzy, airy.

There was Donovan... standing. He looked again at the crowd and saw everyone, everything, all together and separately. Glasses, neckties; women wearing skirts, men wearing pants; there was lipstick... and fire on things sticking in mouths... cigarettes. And they all hung together in a strange understanding.

And then it came... an outfield fly... to him. An easy one. He was worried. He studied the ball fiercely and it almost seemed to stop its movement in air. It just hung there and the crowd shouted and the sun shone and the sky was blue. And Donovan’s eyes were watching him, and Donovan’s eyes were watching. Was Donovan against him? What did Donovan really want?

The ball came into his glove. It entered his glove and he felt the strong pressure and pleasant push of the catch. He threw the ball to second, holding the runner on first. It was a good throw and Chelaski was amazed; it had seemed is if the ball had gone there because it was supposed to. His terror left a little; he was getting away with it.

The next man was out, short to first, and Chelaski began the long trot to the dugout. It was good to be running. He passed several opposing players but they didn’t look at him. It bothered him a little, and the bother hung there in a little knob as he followed Donovan’s set neck into the dugout. When Chelaski got down there, he felt somehow naked, or spotted, or something, and in an effort to act as if he were all right, he walked up to Hull and grinned down on him.

“Do you want me to kiss you? I could make you forget,” he said to Hull.

Hull was hitting .189 and had been benched for Jamison, the college kid. Hull looked up at Chelaski. It was a look of absolute unrecognition. Hull didn’t even answer; he got up and walked to
the water cooler. Chelaski quickly moved up to the railing, with his back to the bench.

Corpenson singled. Donovan hit into a double play and trotted back down the first base line, lifting his legs high, his stockings showing, somehow all full of color.

Chelaski walked to the plate. There was the umpire, the catcher, the pitcher, the fielders, the audience. Everything waiting, everything waiting. Outside, perhaps, a man was holding up a bank; or, a streetcar full of people sitting, was turning a corner; but here it was different: it was settled, expected . . . not like that, outside: the streetcar, the holdup. Here it was . . . different, caught up, demanded.

He swung and missed the first pitch and people shouted. The catcher yelled something and tossed the ball back. A bird skipped through the air, up and down, going somewhere, very fast. Chelaski spit and stared at the birthmark on the ground. The ground was very dry. Ball one.

The next one came on the outside, where he liked them. He swung the bat swiftly, automatically, and the crowd screamed. It was a long drive, deep over the centerfielder’s head. Chelaski watched it bounce against the wall by the flagpole. The crowd screamed louder than ever; it screamed louder than Chelaski had heard it all season. Then Jamison, who was on deck, began yelling at him.

“Run! Run! Run!” he shouted.

Chelaski turned and looked at Jamison. His eyes were extremely wide and burned like two flashes, cups of hot, driven things. His face was contorted, the lips turned out, and Chelaski noticed especially the thick veins in the red neck.

“Run! Run! Run!” Jamison shouted.

A cushion came out of the stands. Then another one. The crowd was so loud he could no longer hear Jamison. What was probably the same bird came flying back, hopping up and down, only a little
faster. The centerfielder had fielded the ball. The noise was almost unbearable. Chelaski was hit by a cushion and he turned to look at the crowd. When he did, many of them leaped up and down, waving their arms. Cushions, hats, bottles, everything came down. For a moment Chelaski’s eye caught sight of a girl in a green skirt. He couldn’t make out her face, or her blouse or her coat. He saw a green skirt, and a pleat in a green skirt, shadow-like and leaping. Then he was hit by another cushion. It stung, cut, felt warm. For a moment he was angry.

The throw came into the second baseman, who relayed it to first for the out. The noise was volcanic, stifling, maddening. Jamison had Chelaski by the arm, pulling him from the batter’s box. He noticed Jamison’s face, streaked with shots of red and white, looking thick, as if several layers of skin had been added.

Chelaski walked to the dugout as the noise continued. The team was taking to the field, Hull replacing him in the outfield.

It was cold in the dugout, dark in the dugout. He saw the water bucket with the towel over its side. He walked down in there, saw somebody’s hands slide nervously on the bench, somebody’s legs cross.

Then Chelaski was standing in front of the manager, Hastings. He didn’t look at Hastings; just looked at his shirt below the V of the neck.

Then he looked up. He saw that Hastings was trying to speak but couldn’t get it out.

Chelaski turned quickly and ran down the runway that led to the locker room. When he got there, he stood a moment looking at all the green lockers.

Outside, the crowd was still shouting and some of the reporters were making their way down to Chelaski to ask him what was wrong.